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## General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

| Question number | Answer |  | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(a)i | Presence check for email |  |  |  |
|  | A1 | Presence check on email field Validation rule of 'Is Not Null' OR Required set to yes |  | 1 |
|  | A2 | Suitable error message e.g. "You must input the email address" | Must also have suitable validation rule to achieve this mark | 1 |
|  |  |  | Total | 2 |
| 1(a)ii |  | List check for |  |  |
|  | A3 | Combo box/list box applied to AverageRatingReview | Must see the field name | 1 |
|  | A4 | Correct range 1;2;3;4;5 <br> And <br> Combo box has limit to list set to Yes List box accept as done by default |  | 1 |
|  |  |  | Total | 2 |


| Question number | Answer |  | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(b) | Add new party manager form |  |  |  |
|  | B1 | PartyManagerID OR DateAdded OR AverageReviewRating are disabled |  | 1 |
|  | B2 | Three from: <br> - Form labels are appropriate (not database field names) <br> - Form has meaningful title <br> - Entry boxes on form are resized appropriately to reflect the expected data <br> - Instructions on how to use <br> - Asterisk on any field except PartyManagerID and DateAdded and AverageReviewRating |  | 1 |
|  | B3 | Button present and suitably labelled e.g. Save, save icon |  | 1 |
|  | B4 | PartyManagerID generated e.g. <br> DMAX("PartyManagerID","tbIPartyManager")+1 |  | 1 |
|  | B5 | AverageReviewRating is automatically set to 5 |  | 1 |
|  | B6 | DateAdded is automatically set to today's date |  | 1 |
|  | B7 | LastName and Email would save in party manager table |  | 1 |


|  | B8 | Save message would display |  | 1 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | B9 | Save message would display only if full record has <br> saved <br> e.g. unbound fields - query used | 1 |  |
|  |  | Total | $\mathbf{9}$ |  |


| Question number | Answer |  | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(c) | Table Structure |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | C1 | Use of appropriate table and field names and naming conventions |  | 1 |
|  | C2 | Item table is present with suitable fields |  | 1 |
|  | C3 | Party table is present with suitable fields |  | 1 |
|  | C4 | Party item table is present with suitable fields |  | 1 |
|  | C5 | 1:M relationship between Party manager and Party |  | 1 |
|  | C6 | 1:M relationship between Item and Party Item |  | 1 |
|  | C7 | 1:M relationship between Party and Party Item |  | 1 |
|  | C8 | Appropriate primary key used for Item table |  | 1 |
|  | C9 | Appropriate primary key used for Party table |  | 1 |
|  | C10 | Appropriate composite key used for Party Items |  | 1 |
|  | C11 | Date/Time is used for PartyDate <br> And <br> Currency used for Cost <br> And <br> Text used for ContactTelephone |  | 1 |
|  |  |  | Total | 11 |


| Question number | Answer | Additional Guidance | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(d) | Import |  |  |
|  | D1 ${ }^{\text {D }}$ Item table - 18 records |  | 1 |
|  | D2 ${ }^{\text {D }}$ Party table - 19 records |  | 1 |
|  | D3 Party items table - 87 records |  | 1 |
|  |  | Total | 3 |


| Question number | Answer |  | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(e) |  | cative content <br> ors <br> Data types <br> Data duplication <br> Primary and foreign keys <br> Referential integrity <br> sideration and significance <br> types <br> Currency used for Cost as it is a monetary field and could possibly require calculations <br> Date/Time used for PartyDate as the data shows dates and because calculations could be needed <br> Made sure the data types for primary/foreign keys matched <br> Contact telephone number text as has leading zero and would require no calculations <br> duplication <br> For each party <br> - PartyID, PartyDate, ContactTelephone and PartyManager duplicated this is because a party can have a number of party items. <br> - These were removed and put into tbIParty <br> Item <br> - The item and cost were also duplicated every time an ItemID was used <br> - tblltem was set up to stop this happening <br> /keys <br> PartyID primary key in tblParty <br> PartyID, ItemID a composite key tbIPartyltems. This is because a party may have more than one item and an item can be needed at more than one party. Neither PartyID nor ItemID would be unique on their own <br> Foreign key PartyManagerID placed in tbIParty because a party needs a party manager and a party manager can manage more than one party. <br> rential integrity <br> Enforced to make sure a foreign key value cannot be used if it does not have a matching primary key. For example ItemID 20 could not be used in tbIPartyltems as there is no such item in tblltem | 9 |
| Level | Mark | Descriptor |  |
|  | 0 | No Awardable content |  |
| Level 1 | 1-3 | - Applies understanding with limited coherence, showing limited understanding of the given issue. <br> - Assessment of solution is superficial or unbalanced, and judgements lack support from evidence. |  |
| Level 2 | 4-6 | - Applies understanding to make some coherent connections, showing some understanding of the given issue. |  |


|  |  | • Assessment of solution is partially developed, but this may be unbalanced, <br> using evidence to support some judgements. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Level 3 | $7-9$ | • Applies understanding coherently, showing a thorough understanding of <br> the given issue. |
|  | Assessment of solution is balanced and developed, using evidence to <br> support judgements throughout. |  |

Total for question 36


|  | A4 | Columns not truncated |  | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | A5 | Correct results shown | May also <br> include records <br> added as part <br> of their testing. | 1 |
|  |  | Total | $\mathbf{5}$ |  |


| Question number | Answer |  |  |  |  |  |  | ditional idance | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2(b)(i) | Party manager query |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 鸟 qry_2(a) $\times$ 缅 $\boldsymbol{q r y 2 ( b ) ( i ) ~} \times$ $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Email } \\ & \text { tblPartyManager } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | PartyDate <br> tbIPart | ContactTele |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | - | $\square$ |  |
|  | PartyManageriD |  |  | LastName |  | mail | Party | Contact |  |
|  | Wernocke |  |  |  | Kern@canru.com |  | 14/09/2019 07700900362 |  |  |
|  | 1 Kernocke |  |  |  | Kern@canru.com |  | 24/05/2020 07700900220 |  |  |
|  | 1 kernocke |  |  |  | Kern@canru.com |  | 25/06/2021 07700900741 |  |  |
|  | 1 Kernocke |  |  |  | Kern@canru.com |  | 18/04/2022 07700900423 |  |  |
|  | 5 Normanvell |  |  |  | Normanvell@eser.co.uk |  | 06/10/2019 07700900150 |  |  |
|  | 5 Normanvell |  |  |  | Normanvell@eser.co.uk |  | 29/10/2019 07700900642 |  |  |
|  | 5 Normanvell |  |  |  | Normanvell@eser.co.uk |  | 21/07/2020 07700900092 |  |  |
|  | 5 Normanvell |  |  |  | Normanvell@eser.co.uk |  | 20/04/2022 07700900374 |  |  |
|  | 7 Halton |  |  |  | Halton@eser.co.uk |  | 11/09/2019 07700900352 |  |  |
|  | 7 Halton |  |  |  | Halton@eser.co.uk |  | 05/11/2019 07700900449 |  |  |
|  | 7 Halton |  |  |  | Halton@eser.co.uk |  | 20/05/2021 07700900170 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B1 | Correct related tables added (Party, PartyManager) or equivalent. No extra tables. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | B2 | Criteria correct for PartyManagerID or LastName <br> - 1,5,7 <br> - Kernocke, Normanvell, Halton |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |



|  | B3 | Title is in the page or report header - mark from Design view only (do not accept title in detail section) |  | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B4 | Title is as given | Ignore minor errors in spelling and case | 1 |  |
|  | B5 | Grouped by PartyManagerID- mark from Design view only |  | 1 |  |
|  | B6 | Shading rectangle used around Party Date and Contact Telephone OR <br> Total number of parties and the number |  | 1 |  |
|  | B7 | Centre alignment used on at least one of the fields and at least one of the values shown |  | 1 |  |
|  | B8 | ```Total number of parties uses correct formula e.g. =Count(ContactTelephone) =Count(PartyDate) =Count(*)``` |  | 1 |  |
|  | B9 | Total number of parties in PartyManagerID footer |  | 1 |  |
|  | B10 | Report and formatting are as given in Figure 1 |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  | Total | 8 |  |
|  |  |  | al for question |  | 15 |






| Question <br> number | Answer | Mark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | Indicative content |  |
|  | Note: Analysis and recommendations must be based on the content of Figure 3. <br> Analysis <br> - Fields <br> The database does not currently have <br> - Customer ID <br> - Last name <br> - First name <br> - Email address <br> - Start time <br> - Notes <br> - Total cost <br> - $10 \%$ deposit <br> - Balance to pay <br> - Party manager table <br> - Already holds the name of the party manager <br> - Item table <br> - Already holds the Item ID, Item and Cost <br> - Party table <br> - Already holds the Party date and Contact telephone and is already related to the Party Manager table <br> - Party Items table <br> - Already holds the Item ID and is already related to the Party table too <br> - Customers <br> - There is no customer table. There can be many customers and a customer could potentially have more than one party <br> Recommendations <br> - Customer table <br> - Create a new table with CustomerID as its primary key. Move the Contact telephone number from the Party table into here and put the fields Last name, First name and Email address. <br> - Party table <br> - Remove the Contact telephone number and replace with CustomerID as a foreign key and enforce referential integrity <br> - Add the Notes and Start time fields <br> - Do not add Total cost, 10\% deposit or Balance to pay as these fields can all be generated from the SUM of the item costs |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |


| Level | Mark | Descriptor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | No Awardable content |
| Level 1 | $1-3$ | • Analysis is flawed or superficial, containing simple statements that show <br> limited understanding of the subject matter. <br> • Solution may contain some aspects that are appropriate, but is generally <br> ineffective. |
| Level 2 | $4-6$ | • Analysis is sound, containing minor inaccuracies or omissions, showing <br> some understanding of the given issue. <br> Solution is workable but may have minor issues. |
| Level 3 | $7-9$ | • Analysis is sound and developed, containing ideas that are linked together <br> in a fluent and logical way, showing a thorough understanding of the given <br> issue. <br> Solution is appropriate and workable. |
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